

Reference Number	Name, Organisation	Summary of Representation	Council's response
4644	C Hampson, Meliden Resident's Action Group (MRAG)	MRAG fully support Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) 03/2016 and 04/2016; action is not only supported in the interest of the environment but also taking into account the time trees take to develop maturity	Support welcomed.
4687	J Wilson	TPO no. 04/2016 to become permanent.	Comment noted.
4688	K Evans	Support for introducing a TPO in relation for developments at Maes Meurig (TPO 04/2016) and Ffordd Hendre (TPO 03/2016)	Support welcomed. The Council has already introduced the TPOs, and is looking to confirm them.
n/a	D Anderson	Fully supports Denbighshire County Council's plan to put in place these two TPOs.	Support welcomed. The Council has already introduced the TPOs, and is looking to confirm them.
n/a	G and R L Gilliams	Encouraged to see TPO no. 04/2016 on trees bordering the field directly below Maes Meurig	Comment noted.
4689	C A Pollitt	Would like to see the TPOs to be made permanent; Is there scope to extend the whole area, including the ancient public footpath and the field allocated for residential development in the LDP as 'Maes Meurig'?	Support welcomed. Council Officers inspected all trees on the outskirts of the two proposed development sites, which have been included in the TPO. At present, there is no intention to extend the TPO.
4643	M G and K J Bailey	Would urge Denbighshire County Council to make permanent both TPOs	Support welcomed.
n/a	A McIntyre	Would urge Denbighshire County Council to make permanent TPO no. 04/2016 regarding Maes Meurig	Support welcomed.
n/a	V and R A Hughes	Would urge Denbighshire County Council to make permanent TPO no. 04/2016 regarding Maes Meurig	Support welcomed.
4580	S McCardell and R Hamilton	Would urge Denbighshire County Council to make permanent TPO no. 04/2016 regarding Maes Meurig	Support welcomed.
n/a	A Glasgow	Would urge Denbighshire County Council to make permanent TPO no. 04/2016 regarding Maes Meurig	Support welcomed.
n/a	S Vaughan Williams on behalf of The	Objects to both TPOs (03/2016 and 04/2016) because trees T11 and T12 in TPO 04/2016 are not considered	Comment noted. No change proposed. Both trees (T11, T12) are visible from footpath no. 22 which is

Reference Number	Name, Organisation	Summary of Representation	Council's response
	<p>Representative Body of the Church of Wales (CoW)</p>	<p>to be worthy of protection; amenity value - queries the visually amenity of these two trees: they are not situated in a prominent location, T12 is out of public sight, and can therefore not be visually important to the area; access – being prevented from cutting or pruning these trees makes access to land in client's ownership difficult and means that it cannot be managed or used; if the land would not be accessible objector may seek legal advice regarding compensation</p>	<p>recorded on the Council's Definitive Map of Public Rights of Way. They have an amenity value for local residents as shown in the representations received in support of TPO 04/2016. A Tree Preservation Order does not prohibit any work, including removal, to these trees, subject to prior consent of the local planning authority (LPA). If a planning application were to be submitted to the LPA, any necessary work to T11 and T12 would be assessed in light of the prevailing tree condition and the submitted proposal. Technical Advice Note 10, paragraph A47 on compensation: 'A TPO may make provision for the payment of compensation by an authority in respect of loss or damage resulting from the refusal of consent or the grant of consent subject to conditions. The Model Order makes such provision in Article 9.' Comment noted. No change proposed.</p>